Procurement Advisory No. 135

SUBJECT: FAR Class Deviation – Removal of Best Procurement Approach Determination for Interagency Acquisitions

Effectively immediately, this advisory approves a class deviation to remove the best procurement approach determination requirement when using an interagency acquisition in FAR 17.502-1(a).


The attached FAR text identifies the additions and deletions anticipated to implement Section 875 of the FY19 NDAA in the FAR. These rulemaking changes will be published in the Federal Register.

This class deviation remains in effect until it is incorporated into the FAR, or otherwise rescinded. For any questions, please contact procurement.policy@dm.usda.gov.
Attachment

FAR Deviation Text


Deviations are shown by [bracketed bold additions] and strikeouts for deletions.

PART 4—ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS

***

Subpart 4.6—Contract Reporting

***

4.603   Policy.

***

(c) Agencies awarding assisted acquisitions or direct acquisitions must report these actions and identify the Program/Funding Agency and Office Codes from the applicable agency codes maintained by each agency at FPDS. These codes represent the agency and office that has provided the predominant amount of funding for the contract action. For assisted acquisitions, the requesting agency will receive socioeconomic credit for meeting agency small business goals, where applicable. Requesting agencies shall provide the appropriate agency/bureau component code as part of the written interagency agreement between the requesting and servicing agencies (see 17.502-1([a]b)(1)).

*****

PART 8—REQUIRED SOURCES OF SUPPLIES AND SERVICES

***

Subpart 8.4—Federal Supply Schedules

***

8.404   Use of Federal Supply Schedules.

***

(b)(1) ***
(2) For orders over $550,000, see subpart 17.5 for additional requirements for interagency acquisitions. For example, the requiring agency shall make a determination that use of the Federal Supply Schedule is the best procurement approach, in accordance with 17.502-1(a).

*****

PART 17—SPECIAL CONTRACTING METHODS

***

Subpart 17.5—Interagency Acquisitions

17.502 Procedures.

17.502-1 General.

(a) Determination of best procurement approach—(1) Assisted acquisitions. Prior to requesting that another agency conduct an acquisition on its behalf, the requesting agency shall make a determination that the use of an interagency acquisition represents the best procurement approach. As part of the best procurement approach determination, the requesting agency shall obtain the concurrence of the requesting agency's responsible contracting office in accordance with internal agency procedures. At a minimum, the determination shall include an analysis of procurement approaches, including an evaluation by the requesting agency that using the acquisition services of another agency—

(i) Satisfies the requesting agency's schedule, performance, and delivery requirements (taking into account factors such as the servicing agency's authority, experience, and expertise as well as customer satisfaction with the servicing agency's past performance);

(ii) Is cost effective (taking into account the reasonableness of the servicing agency's fees); and

(iii) Will result in the use of funds in accordance with appropriation limitations and compliance with the requesting agency's laws and policies.

(2) Direct acquisitions. Prior to placing an order against another agency's indefinite-delivery vehicle, the requesting—
agency shall make a determination that use of another-
agency's contract vehicle is the best procurement approach
and shall obtain the concurrence of the requesting agency's
responsible contracting office. At a minimum, the
determination shall include an analysis, including factors
such as:

(i) The suitability of the contract vehicle;

(ii) The value of using the contract vehicle, including—

(A) The administrative cost savings from using an existing
contract;

(B) Lower prices, greater number of vendors, and reasonable
vehicle access fees; and

(iii) The expertise of the requesting agency to place orders
and administer them against the selected contract vehicle
throughout the acquisition lifecycle.

(b) Written agreement on responsibility for management and
administration—(1) Assisted acquisitions. (i) Prior to the
issuance of a solicitation, the servicing agency and the
requesting agency shall both sign a written interagency
agreement that establishes the general terms and conditions
governing the relationship between the parties, including
roles and responsibilities for acquisition planning, contract
execution, and administration and management of the
contract(s) or order(s). The requesting agency shall provide
to the servicing agency any unique terms, conditions, and
applicable agency-specific statutes, regulations, directives,
and other applicable requirements for incorporation into the
order or contract. In the event there are no agency unique
requirements beyond the FAR, the requesting agency shall so
inform the servicing agency contracting officer in writing.

For acquisitions on behalf of the Department of Defense, also
see subpart 17.7. For patent rights, see 27.304-2. In
preparing interagency agreements to support assisted
acquisitions, agencies should review the Office of Federal
Procurement Policy guidance, Interagency Acquisitions,
available at https://www.whitehouse.gov/sites/whitehouse.gov/
files/omb/assets/OMB/procurement/interagency_acq/iac_
revised.pdf.
(ii) Each agency's file shall include the interagency agreement between the requesting and servicing agency, and shall include sufficient documentation to ensure an adequate audit consistent with 4.801(b).

(2) Direct acquisitions. The requesting agency administers the order; therefore, no written agreement with the servicing agency is required.


(1) Consider strategies for the effective participation of small businesses during acquisition planning (see 7.103(u));

(2) Detail the administration of such contract, including an analysis of all direct and indirect costs to the Government of awarding and administering such contract;

(3) Describe the impact such contract will have on the ability of the Government to leverage its purchasing power, e.g., will it have a negative effect because it dilutes other existing contracts;

(4) Include an analysis concluding that there is a need for establishing the multi-agency contract; and

(5) Document roles and responsibilities in the administration of the contract.

*****

PART 35—RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT CONTRACTING

***

35.017 Federally Funded Research and Development Centers.
35.017-3 Using an FFRDC.

(b) Where the use of the FFRDC by a nonsponsor is permitted by the sponsor, the sponsor shall be responsible for compliance with paragraph (a) of this subsection.

(1) The nonsponsoring agency shall prepare a determination in accordance with 17.502-1(a) and provide the documentation required by 17.503(e) to the sponsoring agency.

(2) When a D&F is required pursuant to 17.502-2(c), the nonsponsoring agency may incorporate the determination required by 17.502-1(a) into [shall prepare] the D&F and provide the documentation required by 17.503(e) to the sponsoring agency.

(3) When permitted by the sponsor, a Federal agency may contract directly with the FFRDC, in which case that Federal agency is responsible for compliance with part 6.